Endoscope-assisted Oblique Lumbar Interbody Fusion L5-S1 with Posterior Fixation with Cortical Bone Trajectory Screw Plus Pedicle Screw for Treatment of Adjacent Segment Degeneration Disease: A Technical Note
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Adjacent segment disease (ASDis) is a common sequela after lumbar spinal fusion. Current surgical treatment for ASDis with instability is decompression and extended fusion which could be done by posterior, lateral or anterior approach. Oblique lumbar interbody fusion (OLIF) could achieve indirect decompression of neural element, but OLIF has a limitation to directly decompress spinal canal in case of a concurrent herniated disc. Spinal endoscopy could enhance the visual field and facilitate herniated disc removal in case of ASDis with migrated lumbar disc herniation. Double trajectory of cortical bone trajectory (CBT) screw and pedicle screw in the same pedicle can be done to avoid the necessity to remove previous instruments. Intra-operative computed tomography (CT) navigation can guide cortical bone screw when only narrow corridors are left due to the pedicle screws. This study will demonstrate a technical note for ASDis with a concurrent migrated disc herniation at L5-S1 level by combining endoscopic discectomy-assisted OLIF L5-S1 to direct and indirectly decompress neural element with intraoperative CT guided double trajectory CBT screw insertion in lateral decubitus position to avoid the necessity of previous instrument removal and decreased operative time.
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INTRODUCTION

The rate of spinal fusion for the treatment of lumbar degenerative diseases had been increasing over years. One of the most common consequences of the lumbar spine fusion is adjacent segment degeneration (ASDeg), which described the radiographic change of adjacent level after fusion, and adjacent segment disease (ASDis), which described new clinical symptoms related with pathology in the adjacent level.

Current surgical treatment for ASDis consisted of decompression and extended fusion which could be done by the posterior, lateral or anterior approach. Anterior lumbar interbody fusion (ALIF) or oblique lumbar interbody fusion (OLIF) could achieve indirect decompression without operating in the scarred area but may have the limitation in case of a concurrent herniated disc. Spinal endoscopy could enhance the visual field and facilitate herniated disc removal in case of ASDis with migrated lumbar disc herniation (LDH). The double trajectory of cortical bone trajectory (CBT) screw and pedicle screw in the same pedicle can be done to avoid the necessity to remove previous instruments. Intra-operative computed tomography (CT) navigation can guide cortical bone screw when only narrow corridors are left due to the pedicle screws.

This study will demonstrate a treatment strategy for ASDis at L5-S1 level by combining endoscopic discectomy-assisted OLIF L5-S1 to direct and indirectly decompress neural element with intraoperative CT guided double trajectory CBT screw insertion in a lateral decubitus position.

CASE ILLUSTRATION

A 67-year-old female patient presented with left foot drop...
and radiating pain to L5 dermatome of both legs for 1 month. In 2001, she had spinal stenosis which was successfully treated with laminectomy and instrumented fusion from L3 to L5 level with pedicle screw and posterior lumbar interbody cage. In 2014, she also had a lumbar disc herniation at L2-L3 level and successfully treated with microdiscectomy. She had developed the radiating pain to L5 dermatome of both legs and gradual left foot drop for 1 month. She also had neurogenic claudication after walking for 20 meters. Her medical record showed well control hypertension and dyslipidemia. Her neurological examination revealed left knee extension grade III, left ankle dorsiflexion grade III, left great toe dorsiflexion grade I. Others key muscle motor power were grade V. Decreased pin prick and light touch sensation at L5 dermatome of both legs. Deep tendon reflexes were normal. Straight leg raising tests were negative at both legs. Anal tone and perianal sensation were intact. The pre-operative radiograph showed intact instrument from L3 to L5 level and degeneration of L2-L3 and L5-S1 level. The pre-operative magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) showed down migrated lumbar disc herniation at left L5-S1 level which causes left lateral recess stenosis (Fig.1). There were also grade 2 bilateral foraminal stenosis at L5-S1 level. The patient was diagnosed with ASDiS L5-S1 with down migrated LDH with bilateral foraminal stenosis.

SURGICAL TECHNIQUES

1. Positioning

After general anesthesia was administered, the patient was positioned to right lateral decubitus (Fig. 2A) with both hips in semi-flexed position.

2. Surgical approach

The skin was marked with fluoroscopic guidance (Fig. 2B). The oblique skin incision was 3 cm in length and was 2 fingerbreadths from the anterior superior iliac spine. Abdominal wall muscles were split through a mini-open anterolateral retroperitoneal approach. After confirmation of level and location of the disc, self-retaining retractor was inserted and fixed to L5 vertebral body with a pin. Discectomy of L5-S1 was done without a microscope. The endoscope was introduced to the same incision.
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Fig. 3. Endoscopic discectomy. Transcorporeal tunnel was done by hand drill Ⓐ. Endoscopic discectomy fluoroscopic view Ⓑ.

Fig. 5. Post-operative MRI showed removed migrated disc in axial Ⓐ and sagittal Ⓑ view.

RESULTS

The patient’s back and leg pain improved. Post-operative period was uneventful. The post-operative radiograph showed improved sagittal balance and lordosis (Fig. 4). Post-operative MRI showed the removal of the herniated disc with the increment of spinal canal cross-sectional area and foraminal area (Fig. 5).

DISCUSSION

Prevalence of ASDeg after lumbar spinal surgery is 31-83%\(^6,14\). The accelerate rate of degeneration after spinal fusion was thought to be caused by alteration of biomechanics of the spine, but this issue is still in controversy whether the degeneration is caused by natural degeneration or the biomechanics alteration\(^14\). Operative treatment for ASDis may be considered after failure of non-operative treatment and rate of revision surgery for ASDis is 8,8-24,5% after 10-15 years follow up\(^10,14\).

The type of operative treatment for ASDis is still controversy. Decompression alone has high re-operation rate up to 44%\(^17\). Direct decompression with extended fusion is considered as a standard for treatment of ASDis with instability\(^12\). Revision laminectomy and extended fusion had been reported with good short term follow up\(^18\), but with increased blood loss and higher risk of incidental durotomy compare to primary surgery\(^19\). Minimally invasive anterior and lateral interbody fusion had been used to indirectly decompress neural element both in primary degenerative and ASDis cases to lessen morbidity and complication with a comparable neurological result to direct decompression\(^1,6,18\). Advantages of anterior and lateral interbody fusion compared to posterior interbody fusion are reduce blood loss and transfusion rate, reduce surgical site infection rate, shorter hospital stay, a larger area of endplate preparation, larger cage and more improvement in sagittal profiles\(^9,13\). Although OLIF at L5-S1 level approach to the same interval as ALIF at this level, OLIF at this level have some advantages over
ALIF. Peritoneal mobilization is lesser in OLIF approach which may lessen the risk of retrograde ejaculation\(^{11}\) and operation in lateral position only can decrease the anesthetic time\(^{3}\).

Some authors suggested using direct decompression in case of spinal stenosis with the concomitant herniated lumbar disc\(^{2}\). The additional of endoscopic discectomy could directly decompress neural element without the needs of posterior decompression\(^{10}\). Another advantage of endoscopic-assisted OLIF is an ability to directly visualized the quality of end plate preparation with 30 degrees endoscopic lens\(^{3}\). Because OLIF was done in right lateral decubitus position with approach from the left, central and right side pathology may be easier to reach with endoscopic assisted. In this case, direct visualization of the migrated disc on the left side had to be done by an additional transcorporeal tunnel. Anterior transcorporeal endoscope had been described for the treatment of cervical disc herniation. Du et al. had shown that the bone tunnel defect gradually decreased in the follow-up CT\(^{4}\).

There were few studies about stand-alone OLIF for treatment of ASDs with instability\(^{12,19}\). Wang et al. reported using LLIF with lateral plate in rostral level ASD in 21 patients with good clinical outcomes but their study didn’t include the patient with caudal level ASD\(^{19}\). Biomechanics studies by Metzger et al. suggested that stand alone LLIF has least rigidity compare LLIF plus lateral plate, cortical screw or pedicle screws\(^{19}\). The lateral plate cannot be used at the L5-S1 level, and removal of the previous instrument is needed to utilize the pedicle screw construct. From these studies, we add the cortical screws to add stability to the highly-loaded environment of L5-S1.

Posterior fixation for ASDs could be done by explant of previous instruments or extension of the rods with domino connectors. Another option without needs to remove previous instruments is using double trajectory CBT screws for extension of fixation\(^{11}\).

One of the disadvantages of anterior or lateral lumbar interbody fusion is time-consuming for repositioning the patient from lateral decubitus to prone position for percutaneous screw insertion. This disadvantage can be alleviated by screws insertion on lateral position\(^{11}\).

This technique is a novel combination of minimally invasive techniques which could maintain the same objective as traditional decompression and extended fusion without changes of patient’s position. But with reduced trauma and morbidity to the patient. Limitation of the combination of various techniques is the surgeon may need more experience in each technique to effectively combined these techniques together.

**CONCLUSION**

Direct decompression and indirect decompression could be achieved by endoscopic discectomy-assisted OLIF for ASDs at L5-S1 with migrated disc herniation. Posterior fixation with double trajectory CBT screws is a minimally invasive option to reduce surgical trauma for the patient. Screws insertion on lateral position with intraoperative navigation decreased positioning time and could increase accuracy for double trajectory screw.
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