End-points of Decompression of in Lumbar Transforaminal Endoscopic Spine Surgery: A Narrative Review of Objective and Subjective Criteria to Prevent Failures
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Objective: Executions of indications/extended indications are associated with higher than normal rates of symptomatic recurrences and treatment failures, especially for novice surgeons incorporating Percutaneous Transforaminal endoscopic lumbar discectomy/decompression (PTELD) techniques. Causes of failures can be manifold and can occur because of a residual or a complete fragment causing persistent compression or associated unaddressed stenosis. To prevent this problem, proper training, multiple instrument inventory, variable techniques are needed with progressive learning. Authors aim to suggest objective and subjective criteria to define end-points/adequacy of decompression (EPD).

Methods: PubMed database search was limited to locate only adequacy of decompression of PTELD and thus included specific keywords: “ENDPOINT” OR “ADEQUATE” AND “DECOMPRESSION” AND “TRANSFORAMINAL” AND “ENDOSCOPY”. Authors added their experience to refine and define multiple EPD.

Results: In the search we found 12 articles total. Upon reviewing these, we found 7 articles matching our criteria. Cross references of included articles were searched, 5 additional articles were included. EPD were described in only 9 articles. Author’s experience with other relevant references were added to complete the viewpoint (EPD, n =29). Direct observed/provoked EPD and inferred EPD were defined separately. Videos, illustrations and descriptions of each EPD are illustrated to provide the ideation.

Conclusion: EPD are variable and not all signs may be elicited in every case and may change with surgeon experience. The ability to recognize EPD is the crux for successful outcomes and maximum possible EPD’s should be aimed in every surgery to avoid failures.
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INTRODUCTION

In the last quarter of 20th century and early 21st century, PTELD has rapidly evolved as an alternative for lumbar disc herniations (LDH) [1]. Advantages of PTELD are remarkable due to surgery under local anaesthesia (LA), though general (GA) and regional anaesthesia can also be used. Minimal damage to muscles/bone/other soft vertebral tissue restraints, rapid recovery, nominal post-operative pain, reduced procedure related morbidity, and a high patient satisfaction rate [2,3] are the other specific advantages. The technique of PTELD has come a long way in the last few decades through experiences of pioneers along with numerous advancements in the field of optics, instrumentation and enabling technology (Magnetic Resonance Imaging [MRI], Radio-frequency [RF], Laser, Ultrasound, Navigation etc.) [4,5]. Initial cases of discectomy were limited to soft para-central LDH. But, gradually it has also been used for treating central, highly migrated, foraminal and extraforaminal LDH, cauda equina syndrome (CES), lumbar spinal stenosis (LSS), stable listhesis, separation surgery, discitis/epidural abscesses and also for performing fusion surgeries [1,6-12].

However, these extended indications and increasing surgical numbers may be associated with higher rates of symptomatic recurrences and treatment failures, especially for novice surgeons incorporating newer ESS techniques [13]. The endpoint of PTELD needs to be identified and differentiated; it is different when PTELD is used only as a pain procedure and when decompression is the surgical goal. Pre-operative evaluation and case selection is of utmost importance in any ideal or advanced indication of ESS. The authors in this review article aim to describe objective and subjective criteria to define the end-point/adequacy of decompression (EPD) in PTELD.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

For this narrative review, database search was limited to locate only adequacy of decompression of a transforaminal endoscopic lumbar surgery. The search words were limited to specific keywords: “ENDPOINT” OR “ADEQUATE” AND “DECOMPRESSION” AND “TRANSFORAMINAL” AND “ENDOSCOPY”. We started the search with above mentioned keywords and the search was done in “PubMed” data base [14]. Required articles language was English. Additional repeat confirmation by two independent researcher reviewers were done for validation and confirmation of the literature review. None of the correspondent authors were contacted for any kind of doubt or other query & resolution. Author’s experience with the other needed references are added to further refine and define EPD. Key literature pertinent to the current topic have been cited and emphasis has been placed on literature published within the last decade to provide the most current recommendations.

RESULTS

In the search, we found a total 12 articles (Figure 1). Upon reviewing the 12 articles, we found 7 articles matching with our criteria and the 5 articles that were excluded were unrelated to ESS. Cross references of the included articles (7) were searched and 5 additional articles were included. EPD were defined in 9 articles only and they were tabulated (Table 1). In PTELD the suggested EPD is by pre-operative planning, achieving the planned EPD with its optimal confirmations per-operatively and documenting decompression post-operatively by objective and subjective parameters. Authors re-identified and describe 29 EPD’s separately. Videos, illustrations and descriptions of each of the EPD is added to prove the ideation.

DISCUSSION

In MIS (minimally invasive spine surgery) or more importantly in ESS (endoscopic spine surgery), the optimum decompression goal should get as close to the COSS (conventional open spine surgery) decompression goal. This needs very specific diagnosis and target unlike COSS which focuses on an exploratory decompression solutions and hence is more forgiving [15]. It is known that for Inter-laminar ESS (Unilateral Biportal, Destandeau, Uniportal Interlaminar Lumbar Decompression) [16-19] the endpoint is still the same as COSS. However, in PTELD the suggested EPD is three tier. Firstly by pre-operative planning, then achieving the planned EPD with its optimal
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Table 1. EPD (end-point of decompression) in transforaminal endoscopy defined in PubMed literature search

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sr No</th>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Defined EPD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Martin Komp [83]</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>The operation was finished when the complete cyst was resected and the neural structure was clearly decompressed under visual observation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Osama Nezar Kashlan [84]</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>Exposure of the exiting nerve root superiorly after adequate decompression is achieved.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Zhen-zhou Li [85]</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>Direct visualization and excision of the herniated disc and hypertrophied posterior longitudinal ligament could be confirmed easily.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Pengfei Li [86]</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>The protruding nucleus pulposus was removed using a clamp under the protection of a trocar. Then, the nerve root was explored and released.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Guodong Yin [87]</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>Following removal of the disk protrusion, ventral facet of the SAP, and ligamentum flavum, the traversing nerve root and dural sac were exposed with adequate mobility and good pulse, indicating complete decompression. It was necessary to eliminate residua along the entire traversing nerve root up to the lateral recess.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Yong Ahn [88]</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>The endpoint of the procedure should be adequately determined by a free mobilization of the neural tissue and strong pulsation of the dural sac. For lateral recess, the tip of the SAP may be typically removed by a bone trephine or endoscopic burrs. After sufficient removal of the bony stenosis, the exposed ligamentum flavum can be subsequently removed by micropunches or forceps. For the lateral recess stenosis, the caudal part of the foramen and the traversing nerve root are decompressed, whereas for the foraminal stenosis, the cranial part of the foramen and the exiting nerve root are decompressed. Additional pedicle resection may enhance the decompression effect. The key to success in this technique is the adequate landing of the working cannula and sufficient decompression of the critical point, which is usually located around the hypertrophied SAP and thickened ligamentum flavum.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Gun Choi [2]</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>Free movement of thecal sac and traversing root, fresh epidural bleeding, and subsidence of pain are the signs of an adequate decompression.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Seungcheol Lee [6]</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>The well-decompressed nerve roots are confirmed by visualization of the thecal sac and nerve root pulsation following respiration and valsalva.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Sagar B Sharma [12]</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>A radiopaque dye Iobrix® is flushed through the catheter to obtain an epidurogram. In some cases, we also use the O-arm to obtain a 3D image of the epidurogram. Comparison between pre-discectomy and post-discectomy epidurogram enables the surgeon to judge the adequacy of discectomy and canal clearance achieved. A free flow of the dye above the affected disc space and into the involved nerve root suggests adequate decompression. The free passage of catheter across the disc space may also be visualized with the endoscope.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

confirmations per-operatively and finally documenting decompression post-operatively by objective and subjective parameters. Factors influencing the EPD include the underlying pathology (LSS vs. LDH: soft/hard LDH), level of surgery, the site of compression (dorsal/ventral), approach technique used (IO: Inside Out/OI: Outside In, and FEE: Flat Entry Epidural [1]), duration of symptoms (acute/chronic), radiological patient specific features, experience, school of thought and expertise of the surgeon.

1. Pre-operative Planning for Target EPD

We recommend an immediate pre-operative MRI (Magnetic Resonance Imaging) to assess the pathology and for clinico-radiological correlation. This is not only for pre-operative planning, but also to assess the size of fragment for intra-operative assessment of completion of surgical decompression. Not to forget that there may be a change in the location of the fragment after initial presentation, which can only be confirmed by an immediate pre-operative screening MRI. This is essential to curtail chances of a missed fragment, as PTELD is a minimalistic procedure and does not allow change of trajectory of approach and visualization as compared to exploratory COSS [20]. Plotting of LDH fragment and stenosis can be drawn on the radiographs (antero-posterior and lateral views) (Figure 2D, E). This is helpful during initial learning because stenosis or spatial configuration of LDH fragments in spinal canal is based on 2D MRI films or 3D imagined PACS visualization. But, our per-operative endoscope tip and working instruments jaws location is guided on image intensifier radiographic images only.

2. Intra-operative Assessment of EPD

1) EPD in PTELD for LDH

The transforaminal approach in “inside out” technique refers to a posterolateral approach to the disc or epidural space through the foraminal window [21]. The detailed techniques can be referred to in previous literature and is not the focus of present study [1, 22-24]. EPD defined in previously published literature in the PubMed search is limited (Table 1). The Au-
The authors recommend multiple EPD in addition to previously mentioned literature EPD (Table 2). For ease of understanding many non provocative and provocative direct EPD along with inferred EPD are suggested. After multiple release and decompression techniques, confirm decompression by direct and indirect evidences. Though debatable, we also believe that the direct visualization of neural elements is the best documentation to avoid failures [25]. Authors’ EPD includes complete direct visualization of the Traversing Nerve Root (TNR) when it is the focus of decompression. The extent of direct visualization is from superjacent lower endplate unto the inferjacent pedicle by angulating the endoscope. This can be further extended in cases of up-migrated or down-migrated fragments by doing additional maneuvers like a partial pediculectomy. In cases with central LDH, central stenosis or CES, direct visualization of the central dural sac is taken as the EPD [1]. Once the EPD is reached, neural fall back occurs and neural tissue occupies the space that was previously occupied by LDH fragment (Figure 3).

These EPD vary depending on the pathology. In para-central protrusions even an intact annulus with free epidural fat pop-out signals adequate decompression [1]. This fat pop-out is usually seen in acute LDH, para-central LDH and is more pronounced in high BMI (Body Mass Index) patients (Figure 4). In fact, the fat may hinder in actual visualization of decompressed neural structures. In these type of cases, probing along the length of TNR is especially important to avoid false positive EPD. In cases of LDH extrusion, major fragment retrieval (Figure 5, Supplementary Video 1), i.e., removal of the culprit fragment is the best sign of a complete decompression and EPD. Fragmented epidural components may remain and should be looked for especially when migrated or extruded fragments with more than a week-old presentation are addressed. This is more likely when epidural trans-PLL (posterior longitudinal ligament) fragment is present due to the body’s phagocytic response to the antigenic nucleus pulposus [26,27]. This major fragment retrieval does not occur in disc bulge, stenosis and calcification. In this later type of cases slow crab eating technique is what is needed. Usually, a gush of epidural blood comes out, called as “Red-Out” after the fragment retrieval indicating unobstructed epidural space (Figure 6) [1,28]. Visibility is always gained in a while with fluid ingress and washout, when the dura expands and creates a normal haemostatic tamponade. False positive red out are also noted in sudden multiple bleeder points especially with cutting burr, major bleed or any fluid inflow blockade in the endoscope [28]. After the fragment removal is achieved, opposite annular fissure visualization is possible (Figure 3, 7). This is the EPD in a protrusion or extrusion followed by most experienced surgeons (Supplementary Video 2). Habit of eyeballing the size of fragment (Figure 8) removed by the instrument and matching it with an extruded or sequestrated fragment size on MRI should be the dictum. If in any case with fragmented nucleus (extrusion, sequestration) there is no red coloured part in the removed disc pieces, then it suggests that the culprit nuclear fragment is still pending to be removed. The red area depicts the epidural outer surface (Figure 9), while yellowish area is unexposed part, though degenerated. Sometimes, a whitish coloured area is noted and is the most inside part of the major fragment within the disc space confines itself (Figure 9). At the same time a red staining fragment retrieved but not matched to size on MRI should arouse suspicions. Small fragment miss outs are common in seques-tration. Use of live dye Indigo-carmine is used for spotting the fragment easily and identifying degenerated fragments. This is useful for EPD judgment and used at many centres worldwide [29,30].

In extra-foraminal or foraminal LDH, the exiting nerve root is visualized and probed below it against the vertebral body and the disc annulus after retrieving the fragments. Many times crab eating of the compressing annular hardening, calcified LDH and ventral LSS is required. Variable use of burr, osteo-
### Table 2. Authors recommended EPD (End Point Decompression)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sr. no</th>
<th>Direct EPD (observed or provoked)</th>
<th>Sr. no</th>
<th>Inferred EPD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Major fragment retrieval (MFR),</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Epidural fat pop-out (EFP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Red-out (RO)</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>Epidural probing (EP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Neural fall back (NFB)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Annular flap mobility (AFM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Eyeballing size of disc fragment removed &amp; it is matched with MRI (ESF)</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>Dye stained fragment clearance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Direct visualization of nerve root/dural sac in its course (DV)</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>Target area location (TAL) with instrument tip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Epidural pulsation (EP)</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Smooth sweeping of the floor (SSF)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Breathing dura</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>Scoliotic list correction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Soft dural flutter (SDF)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Heart rate/blood pressure correction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Valsalva manoeuvre like cough, sneeze, deep breathing, Shows violent dural flutter (VDF)</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>Free Straight Leg Raising Test (Intra and Post Operative)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Opposite annular-fissure visualization (OFV)</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>Subsidence of pain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Epidural darks (ED)</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>Short Nap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Post-operative MRI (PMRI) &amp; myelogram (PMRM)</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>VAS and ODI scores (post-operative) or other PROM (patient reported outcome measures improvement)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Epidurography</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>X- MRI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Future directions: MRI compatible endoscope and Operative set Up, Visualisation with epiduroscope, Trans-Foraminal per-operative Ultrasonography.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The tabulation has clubbed all EPD of literature search, personal recommendations and futuristic directions. The author utilizes a variety of end-point/adequacy of decompression (EPD) in PTELD to assess decompression, both direct and inferred. A few are observed and a few are provocative.

Figure 3. (A) Illustrative prone anatomy-patient orientation with area of docked endoscope tip (red outline) para-central location. (B) At the start of the left side approach to L4–5, Endoscopic “Inside out” epidural view showing starting endoscopic view with the nucleus pulposus fragment, lying just ahead after having cut the near side annular anchorage. (C) After the completion of Transforaminal, Full Endoscopic Spine surgery (ESS) the End Point Decompression (EPD) clearly showing the neural fall back with epidural vessels (black*), into the space created by removal of the disc fragment. Opposite annular fissure visualisation (orange*) at the depth of the annular tear which was on the other side of the cut side annular anchorage.

Tomes, hooks, dissectors and articulated scoops are needed to decompress them optimally. Once the EPD is reached in these cases there is neural fall back and visualization of the TNR and dural sac. In cases of sub annular LDH, the PLL will be free and is considered as the EPD. Depending on the location of the annular tear, annular flap mobility must be considered as the EPD (Figure 7, Supplementary Video 2). In cases where there is no annular flap mobility, look for chronicity features: end plate spur/hardened annulus (Figure 10). This may help locate the associated stenosis in addition to a disc fragment. If it is tackled optimally, it will avoid a failure. These are all “non-provocative EPD”.

When the EPD is reached, movements of the dural sac and neural elements can be elicited by various “Provocative” maneuvers. Visualization of strong epidural pulsation of the and TNR are the confirmed EPD. EP can be seen in-sync with the
patient’s breathing as well (Supplementary Video 3). Dural Flutter (DF), soft and violent can be provoked as additional EPD. Soft DF can be elicited by thumb pressure on water flow at the outer end of the working channel (Supplementary Video 4). DF may be deceptive in cases of obese patients because of epidural fat popping [1,31]. Another conceptual change is to understand that disc prolapse material can have associated end plate cartilage or/and annulus in addition to nucleus fragment. This may have to be removed for complete decompression especially with acute on chronic clinical history. There may be small to big end plate junction failure or posterior rim apophysis fracture [1,32,33]. This may be healed or avulsed or non-united and may necessitate removal when needed. So, a careful assessment of pre-operative MRI is a must. EPD of violent DF can be elicited by a violent cough impulse/deep breathing or any valsalva maneuver. But this method does not hold in case of patients under general anesthesia, and in those cases input from anesthetist with an Ambu bag becomes necessary. Also, to note, Violent Cough or sneeze is now painless unlike pre-operatively, where any valsalva maneuver is usually painful in an LDH (Supplementary Video 2).

Various subtle and obvious clinical changes occur which signals an inferred EPD. A pre-operatively obvious scoliotic list/tilt/stoop can correct on operation table itself with subsidence of pain once neural compression is relieved. A changed spasmodic prominence of paraspinal muscles is also observed in many cases. Systemic parameters change and we have consistently observed a fall in heart rate post-decompression after removal of the nociceptive stimulus. Good coordination with

![Figure 4](image4.png)

**Figure 4.** (A) Illustrative prone anatomy-patient orientation with area of docked endoscope tip (red outline) at para-central location. (B) Epidural fat pop-out and epidural vessels that gets visualized in obese patients rather than neural fall back.

![Figure 5](image5.png)

**Figure 5.** After the major fragment retrieval, habit of eyeballing the size of fragment removed and matching it with an extruded or sequestrated fragment size on MRI should be the dictum. Variable colours are valuable to identify the major fragment. Red area shows the epidural outer surface, while yellowish area is unexposed part, though degenerated. Some times a more whitish coloured area is noted and is the most inside part of the major fragment within the disc space confines itself.

![Figure 6](image6.png)

**Figure 6.** Red out; epidural gush of blood that wipes out visibility.
the anesthesiologist can help record this finding [34]. Usually, patients of acute, severely painful LDH gets relieved of symptoms and many times goes for a short nap in absence of the severe pain which had given them sleepless previous nights. SLRT (Straight leg raising test) can be elicited by dropping the affected side leg side table of an orthopaedic table or shifting the patient to table side (Figure 11). This can be done supine or lateral as well. This signifies the free sliding of the TNR and can be documented directly. Surgeons must remember that false negative SLRT can be elicited when a chronic disc with a soft disc fragment is operated by PTELD. The focus of the surgery by most surgeon is usually the removal of the soft fragment. Inspite of the adequate removal of the soft fragment, and acute pain relief, the SLRT may not improve due to the bumpy remaining ventral stenosis (Figure 12). False positive free SLR can be elicited in cases of smaller fragments even if they are not removed, under the strong transient action of sensorcaine and

Figure 7. Illustrative image showing the annular tear through which the nucleus has prolapsed. After PTELD (removal of fragment), the Opposite annular fissure visualization and annular flaps mobility are EPD’s (End Point of Decompression)

Figure 8. Eye balling the size of fragment and comparing with the MRI. Previous two level operated Open surgery patient with a new L2-3-disc herniation (A, B), with a matched fragment en-masse removal (C).

Figure 9. (A, C) An operated case of up-migrated disc prolapse (L 4-5: Right side) by transforaminal "Inside Out" approach. (B, D) Near complete decompression in the Post-operative confirmatory MRI showing the achieved decompression. A small residual asymptomatic fragment remains and at 6 years’ post-operative the outcome is excellent.
washout of the chemical mediators. Epidural Probing (Supplementary Video 5) with a RF probe, hook, dissector, straight probe or steerable probe or articulated hook adds to surgeon’s confidence and confirms epidural clearance. After adequate decompression the articulated hook can be used for the smooth sweeping of the floor of the room that is cleared (i.e., the space ventral to the dura on the annulus and adjoining vertebral body). This also confirms the EPD (Supplementary Video 6, 7). But again, it is inventory based and the extended tactile feedback can be developed by experience only. Usually a Epidural Darks (ED) region is well noticed as empty space many times after the LDH decompression indicating unobstructed epidural space. They are apparent due to decompression and collapsing dura due to irrigating fluid pressure. They start appearing after a decompression indicating EPD but not regularly seen in LDH (Supplementary Video 8, 9). This is usually visible when doing a migrated big LDH removal. A trans-ligamentous sequestration may not show ED. A sub-ligamentous sequestration even after decompression, does not allow the PLL to fall back to its natural position there by preventing typical neural fall back and at times showing false positive ED. Neural tissue visualization is also incomplete in cases of sub ligamentous small protrusions, extrusions and sequestrations. False positive ED is noted in cases of calcified disc adjoining regions even before decompression is completed (Figure 13). Proper in-depth MRI understanding of the patho-anatomy is essential to corroborate these EPD finding. A classification based understanding of ESS is recommended for more specific target identification and execution [1,35].

Instrument tip like a RF probe or hook can be placed and target area location on fluoroscopy can be confirmed (Figure 14). The position of the instrument tip is matched with the location of the pathology on the pre-operative scan to confirm the fact that the surgical decompression was carried out at the correct target pathological area. This maneuver is especially useful in cases with migrated LDH. Recording a video of the entire procedure if feasible or at least the maximum possible EPD’s is recommended by the author for documentation and medico-legal purposes. All the above mentioned EPD are of IO approach. In OI approach also the visualization is similar, but docking is different and maneuverability of the endoscope is limited. The aiming and trajectory have to reach to the head or tail of the fragment. Though after an IO approach burred foramino-plasty can be done to do additional targets of decompression to combine the benefits of IO and OI approaches of PTELD. But the reverse to change from OI to IO approach is technically impossible. In FEE technique the approach is more flatter, visualization is more anatomical and many of the above EPD can be elicited. But, it’s wide spread acceptance is not observed. At the same time the recurrence rates and advanced applications of FEE approach is limited in literature [36,37].

2) EPD in PTELD for Stenosis

The detailed techniques of execution of stenotic decompression can be referred to in previous literature and is not the focus of present study [1]. Many suggested EPD, found in literature search, are there in transforaminal endoscopic lateral recess decompression, lumbar foraminotomy and ventral decompression (Table 1). The commonest pathology in degenerative stenosis is hypertrophy of the SAP (superior articular process),

Figure 10. Illustration of a calcified disc, superior end plate spur of inferior vertebra, causing hard ventral lateral recess stenosis. Similar spur may exist in upper or lower endplate and even bilaterally.

Figure 11. Straight leg raising test elicited in prone position on table (per-operative) which if free in comparison to the restricted pre-operative test, suggesting inferred EPD (End Point Decompression).
LF (ligamentum flavum) hypertrophy, disc space settling (annulus buckling) with or without LDH, osteophyte formation and associated dynamic or static translation. As a result, the TNR is compressed in cases of lateral recess stenosis (LRS) and the exiting nerve root is compressed in the foraminal stenosis (FS) [38,39]. LSS according to pathological zones is classified into three categories: central LSS, LRS and FS. PTELD can be suitable for the treatment of the LRS/FS by resection of the hypertrophied SAP [40-42]. Reaching to the central dorsal aspect is difficult by PTELD. Though tricky, indirect decompression by removing the ventral upper endplate spur of lower vertebra can enlarge the central canal as well [41]. In FS, the focus is on the cranial foramen and removal of the tip of SAP, capsule and LF. Visualization of the pulsatile exiting nerve root is the EPD. For the LRS, the caudal foramen is focussed, and additional adjoining pedicle removal is needed many times in addition to sculpting of SAP, inferior articular process, LF and capsule. Visualisation of the entire pulsatile TNR on ventral, lateral and dorsal aspect confirms the EPD (Supplementary Video 9) [43]. For central LSS, or unilateral ventral stenosis, ventral decompression by removal of the LDH, buckling annulus (which is usually hardened or calcified) and removal of the superior vertebral end plate spur of the inferior vertebra is done (Supplementary Video 10). Visualisation of the entire pulsatile TNR and dural sac affirms the EPD. For bilateral symptomatic cases further flattening of the endoscope trajectory and ventral decompression of contralateral traversing root and visualization is to be done [44]. In cases with a high degree of stenosis, bilateral symptoms, conus/ cauda equina syndrome, bilateral transfomaminal endoscopy with two working ports ensures adequate EPD (Figure 15) [1]. Usually a epidural dark region is well noticed many times after the decompression indicating unobstructed epidural space (Supplementary Video 8, 9). This can be ventral or dorsal. False positive epidural dark are visible at some times especially at elevated dura junction of calcified disc/end plate spur and represent dead spaces due to chronicity (Figure 13).

Figure 12. (A) Showing a calcified end plate spur causing ventral stenosis with a small disc prolapse that causes an acute presentation. (B) Even after removal of the disc fragment by PTELD, the ventral stenosis remains. In this case acute symptoms may resolve but SLRT restriction may remain in moderation. The myelo-block in myelogram can also persist.

Figure 13. Black shadow in ventral epidural space adjoining the discogenic compression, suggestive of false positive Epidural darks. These are dead spaces and are present in severe ventral stenosis.

Figure 14. The position of the endoscope tip and the articulated grasper can be checked on fluoroscopy for target location assessment target area location. (A, B) In case of a highly migrated disc prolapse tackled with a transforaminal “Outside In” approach, End-point decompression (EPD) confirmed after major fragment retrieval and inferred by reach and position of the instrument tip.

LF (ligamentum flavum) hypertrophy, disc space settling (annulus buckling) with or without LDH, osteophyte formation and associated dynamic or static translation. As a result, the TNR is compressed in cases of lateral recess stenosis (LRS) and the exiting nerve root is compressed in the foraminal stenosis (FS) [38,39]. LSS according to pathological zones is classified into three categories: central LSS, LRS and FS. PTELD can be suitable for the treatment of the LRS/FS by resection of the hypertrophied SAP [40-42]. Reaching to the central dorsal aspect is difficult by PTELD. Though tricky, indirect decompression by removing the ventral upper endplate spur of lower vertebra can enlarge the central canal as well [41]. In FS, the focus is on the cranial foramen and removal of the tip of SAP, capsule and LF. Visualization of the pulsatile exiting nerve root is the EPD. For the LRS, the caudal foramen is focussed, and additional adjoining pedicle removal is needed many times in addition to sculpting of SAP, inferior articular process, LF and capsule. Visualisation of the entire pulsatile TNR on ventral, lateral and dorsal aspect confirms the EPD (Supplementary Video 9) [43]. For central LSS, or unilateral ventral stenosis, ventral decompression by removal of the LDH, buckling annulus (which is usually hardened or calcified) and removal of the superior vertebral end plate spur of the inferior vertebra is done (Supplementary Video 10). Visualisation of the entire pulsatile TNR and dural sac affirms the EPD. For bilateral symptomatic cases further flattening of the endoscope trajectory and ventral decompression of contralateral traversing root and visualization is to be done [44]. In cases with a high degree of stenosis, bilateral symptoms, conus/ cauda equina syndrome, bilateral transfomaminal endoscopy with two working ports ensures adequate EPD (Figure 15) [1]. Usually a epidural dark region is well noticed many times after the decompression indicating unobstructed epidural space (Supplementary Video 8, 9). This can be ventral or dorsal. False positive epidural dark are visible at some times especially at elevated dura junction of calcified disc/end plate spur and represent dead spaces due to chronicity (Figure 13).
3. Post-operative Assessment

SLRT can be utilized pre-operatively with diagnostic implications and intra-operatively/post-operatively to confirm the EPD [45,46]. There may be a component of hamstring tightness [47] which may remain; however, the characteristic radicular pain subsides [48]. It is important to note that this is a "passive" SLRT [49] to be performed by the surgeon only and not to be done by the patient on his own.

Post-operative VAS (visual analogue scale) score can be compared with the pre-operative baseline [50]. This assumes importance as the PTELD elicted VAS is very specific and not confounded by surgical site pain as in COSS [51]. PROM (Patient Reported Outcome Measures) evaluations follow few weeks to months and objectifies the recovery and optimum decompression achieved. But, patient satisfaction Index or other Likart scales can be taken immediately to quantify the subjective outcomes [51,52].

The incidence of scoliotic list has been reported to range between 8.9% to 18% in patients with LDH who have undergone a discectomy [53]. Disappearance of a pre-operative list either intra-operatively on table or post operatively is observed and confirms the adequacy of decompression.

We routinely do immediate post-operative MRI (PMRI) combined with Magnetic Resonance Myelography (MRM) [54,55] to objectively assess the extent of decompression in all patients. PMRI (Figure 16) is best confirmatory for all PTELD. A resolved MRM block also facilitates documentation of the EPD. Myelo-block after decompression are visible in many cases because of unaddressed endplate spur ventral stenosis (Figure 12). Even with multiple EPD achieved per-operatively and annular flap mobility, myelo-block may be there (False positive) (Figure 17). Pseudobulges are noted in some cases. This is very important to record in consultation with radiologist as it can invite medicolegal problems especially in profound deficits, despite an optimal EPD achieved [1,56].

4. Future Directions

Intra-operative ultrasound [57,58] guided PTELD is a new adjunct that gives radiation free real time guidance. Ultrasound has been utilized in COSS, not only for identification of spinal pathologies operated, but also to confirm the degree of decompression of the neural elements [59-61]. In PTELD the need is an appropriate probe, engineered to go through the working sheath. We can also use epiduroscope/bronchoscope through the working cannula to visualize the EPD (Figure 18). This can be utilized when highly migrated fragments are there, which can be fragmented. UBS (ultrasonic bone scalpel) in COSS shows several advantages including decreased risk of mechanical injury, reduced thermal injury, and reduction in osseous bleeding, which improves visibility in the surgical field and provides significant reduction in surgical time [62-65]. Given the precision offered by UBS, and the fact that PTELD is such a selective, targeted procedure, the day when UBS will be introduced in ESS is not far away. Navigation is another development in spine surgery and PTELD [66,67]. It will enhance the surgeon’s ease of learning and performing PTELD and likely save radiation years of surgeon [68]. When combined with intra-operative CT scan based navigation, EPD can be confirmed when PTELD is applied for bony stenosis. Possibility of endoscopic vision with projection of 3D digital hologram defining the EPD can be planned pre-operatively and confirmed per-operatively, based on interactive user interface on an head mounted device based navigation [69,70]. Robotic spine systems are rapidly changing the landscape of modern surgery. Once appropriate user interfaces are in play, RSS will be useful.
Figure 16. Post-operative confirmatory MRI (C, D) of a case with extra-foraminal disc prolapse (Yellow arrow) (A, B) showing complete decompression and documentation.

Figure 17. False Positive MRI myelo-block. In spite of removal of the culprit fragment and EPD achieved with soft dural flutter, opposite annular fissure visualization, epidural probing, and annular flap mobility, a pseudobulge is visible on the post-operative MRI. The patient was non-symptomatic and excellent clinical outcome.

Figure 18. Use of epiduroscope/bronchoscope through working cannula to visualise EPD.
CONCLUSION

The EPD is variable and depends on numerous factors, not all signs may be elicited in every case and may change with surgeon experience. The ability to recognize the EPD is the crux for a successful complete decompression. Though debatable, maximum EPD’s should be aimed in every surgery to avoid failures.
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Supplementary Video 2. (A) Illustrative prone anatomy-patient orientation with area of docked endoscope tip (red outline) at para-central location. (B) Showing a pulsatile, moving dural sac with opposite annular fissure visualisation (AFV) and probing with a flexible ball tip to confirm End point decompression (EPD) of the dural sac and the opposite side traversing nerve root (TNR). (C) After a transforaminal “Outside In” (OI) approach (Oblique view is obvious) decompression of a migrated fragment is achieved with visualised ligamentam flavum curtain (black*), ventral annular tear (orange*) and decompressed traversing nerve root (ventral and lateral aspect). The nerve root is pulsatile and matching with the heart rate, indicating End point decompression (EPD) (https://doi.org/10.21182/jmisst.2022.00444.v003).

Supplementary Video 3. (A) Illustrative prone anatomy-patient orientation with area of docked endoscope tip (red outline) at supra-pedicular lateral location, having removed ventro-lateral part of superior facet by blind foraminoplasty. (B) After a transforaminal “Outside In” (OI) approach (Oblique view is obvious) decompression of a migrated fragment is achieved with visualised ligamentam flavum curtain (black*), ventral annular tear (orange*) and decompressed traversing nerve root (ventral and lateral aspect). The nerve root is pulsatile and matching with the heart rate, indicating End point decompression (EPD) (https://doi.org/10.21182/jmisst.2022.00444.v003).

Supplementary Video 4. Video in video of the external generated fluid ripples that get reflected inside to elicit dural flutter (DF) which is a provocative end point Decompression (EPD). Even more violent EPD can be generated by asking patient to cough or with a Valsalva maneuver (VM) (https://doi.org/10.21182/jmisst.2022.00444.v004).

Supplementary Video 5. (A) Illustrative prone anatomy-patient Orientation with area of docked endoscope tip (red outline) at para-central location after an “Inside Out” (IO) approach for paracentral small disc protrusion. Lateral Recess visualisation of decompressed traversing nerve root (TNR) is not clear as posterior longitudinal ligament (PLL) and annulus both are not cut. So this is an inferred End-point decompression (EPD) with use of the radio frequency probe wandling and feeling below and above the Annulo-PLL tissue (https://doi.org/10.21182/jmisst.2022.00444.v005).

Supplementary Video 6. (A) Illustrative prone anatomy-patient Orientation with area of docked endoscope tip (red outline) at inferior left foraminal para-central location in an “Inside out” (IO) approach. After removal of a trans-ligamentous (PLL: posterior longitudinal ligament) extruded central disc fragment. Video (B) Smooth Sweeping of the floor (SSF). Pulsations and dural flutter are present to confirm End point decompression (EPD) as well. The fluffy annulus tear with PLL appears compressive. But, actually it’s mobile and does not contribute to any stenosis (https://doi.org/10.21182/jmisst.2022.00444.v006).
Supplementary Video 7. Fluoroscopy live image intensifier video showing the articulated hook used for the floor (SSF) as End point decompression (EPD). Video 20/8: (A) Illustrative prone anatomy-patient orientation with area of docked endoscope tip (red outline) supra-pedicular lateral location, having removed ventro-lateral part of superior facet and part of pedicle by endoscopic burred-visualised foraminoplasty. (B) After a transfomaminal “Outside In” (OI) approach decompression of a migrated fragment is achieved with visualised decompressed traversing nerve root (TNR) (ventral and lateral aspect), is pulsatile and matching with heart rate. Additionally, Epidural darks (ED) is visible ventrally indicating End point decompression (EPD) (https://doi.org/10.21182/jmisst.2022.00444.v007).

Supplementary Video 8. (A) Illustrative prone anatomy-patient orientation with area of docked endoscope tip (red outline) supra-pedicular lateral location, having removed ventro-lateral part of superior facet and part of pedicle by endoscopic burred-visualised foraminoplasty. (B) After a transfomaminal “Outside In” (OI) approach decompression of a migrated fragment is achieved with visualised decompressed traversing nerve root (TNR) (ventral and lateral aspect), is pulsatile and matching with heart rate. Additionally, Epidural darks (ED) is visible ventrally indicating End point decompression (EPD) (https://doi.org/10.21182/jmisst.2022.00444.v008).

Supplementary Video 9. (A) Illustrative prone anatomy-patient Orientation with area of docked endoscope tip (red outline) at para-central location after foraminoplasty. The ligaments flavum (LF) is also removed dorsally (B) Lateral Recess visualisation of decompressed traversing nerve root (TNR) dorsal as well as ventral: Dural Flutter (DF), epidural darks (ED) clearly confirms the End point decompression (EPD) in a lateral recess stenosis (LRS). Tuft of capsule of facet joint and LF in superior foramen, not causing any TNR compression is left as it is (https://doi.org/10.21182/jmisst.2022.00444.v009).

Supplementary Video 10. (A) Illustrative prone anatomy-patient orientation with area of docked endoscope tip (red outline) inferior foraminal para-central location (B). The EPD (End point decompression) in ventral stenosis due to bony spurs is a very small focussed area following removal of the ventral stenosis with burrs and osteotome, showing the fluttering pulsatile traversing nerve root (TNR) (https://doi.org/10.21182/jmisst.2022.00444.v010).
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